Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,567	MIYAZAWA, HIR	ROHISA
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan T. Dinh	2841	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Tuan T. Dinh</u> .	(3) <u>Stephen Palan</u> .		
(2)	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 September 2010 Time:			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Claims 1, 9,and 10			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Discussed claimed in vention with Mr. Palan on 09/29/10, that he agrees the propose amendment claims as suggest by examiner, and authorizes to examiner to do examiner's amendment.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
/Tuan T Dinh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)